# Design of index assignment in vector-quantizers under channel errors

Gal Ben-David and David Malah Technion - Israel Institute of Technology Dept. Of Electrical Eng. Haifa 32000, Israel E-mail: gal@galium.co.il , malah@ee.technion.ac.il

ECCO XX May 2007

## Outline

- Vector quantization
- Definition of channel distortion
- Index assignment
- Performance bounds
- Average performance over all index assignments
- Index assignment design algorithm
- > Numerical results
- Conclusions

# Vector Quantizer (VQ) Based Communication System



ECCO XX May 2007

#### **Vector Quantization**

- Widely used method for low-bit-rate communication
- The signal space (Ω) of all possible sourcevectors is divided into non-overlapping regions (R<sub>i</sub>)
- Each region is represented by a codevector (\$\phi\_i\$)
   Codebook The collection of all codevectors
   Codevector indices are sent through the channel

#### Signal Space

 $\Omega$  - Entire Signal Space



ECCO XX May 2007

**Distortion Values - I** 

- p(x) Probability density function of source vector x
- $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  Distance Measure
- $\pi$  Permutation Matrix
- Q Channel Transition Matrix

Average Quantization Distortion

$$D_{Q=I} = E\left[d\left(\underline{x}, \underline{\hat{x}}\right)\right]_{Q=I} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{R_i} d\left(\underline{x}, \underline{\phi}_i\right) \cdot p\left(\underline{x}\right) \cdot d\underline{x}$$

Total (overall) Average Distortion

$$D_{T} = E\left[d\left(\underline{x}, \underline{\hat{x}}\right)\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left\{\pi \cdot Q \cdot \pi^{T}\right\}_{ij} \int_{R_{i}} d\left(\underline{x}, \underline{\phi}_{j}\right) \cdot p\left(\underline{x}\right) \cdot d\underline{x}$$
  
The partition regions and codevectors are designed to  
minimize the quantization distortion

Design of index assignment in vectorquantizers under channel errors

ECCO XX May 2007

#### **Distortion Values - II**

Average Channel Distortion

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{C} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \boldsymbol{p}_{i} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}^{T} \right\}_{ij} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \left( \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i} , \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j} \right) = \boldsymbol{trace} \left\{ \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{T} \boldsymbol{D} \right\}$$

where

 $\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{diag} \left\{ \boldsymbol{p}_0, \boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_{N-1} \right\} \text{ - Partition regions probability matrix}$  $\left\{ \boldsymbol{D} \right\}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{d} \left( \boldsymbol{\phi}_i, \boldsymbol{\phi}_j \right) \text{ - codevectors distance matrix}$ 

For the Euclidean distance measure and Centroid Quantizers Total distortion = Quantization distortion + Channel distortion  $D_T = D_{Q=I} + D_C$ 

ECCO XX May 2007

### Index Assignment

- > Assignment of indices to codevectros affects system performance under channel errors
- There are N! possible index assignments
- Looking for the best assignment is a Quadratic Assignment problem and is known to be NPcomplete
- Various <u>suboptimal</u>, high complexity index assignment algorithms are known – Local index switching, Genetic algorithms, Simulated annealing

# Motivation for Determining Performance Bounds

 Difficulty in obtaining good assignments
 Need to estimate the performance of given assignments as compared to best and worst index assignments

Basis for low complexity suboptimal index assignment algorithm

#### Bounds Outline - I

Average Channel Distortion

 $\boldsymbol{D}_{C} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace} \left\{ \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{D}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \right\} \text{ where } \hat{\boldsymbol{D}} = \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{P} + \boldsymbol{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{D}^{T}$ 

Define

$$s_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{D}_{ij} \text{ and } k = \arg \max\{s_{i}\}$$

$$C_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$i = \text{th column}$$

Design of index assignment in vectorquantizers under channel errors

ECCO XX May 2007

### **Bounds Outline - II**

#### Define

- $\pi$  Permutation matrix
- $\alpha_i = (s_k s_i)/N$  $S = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \alpha_i$  $\widetilde{D} = \hat{D} + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \alpha_i (C_i + C_i^T)$

 $\lambda_i$  - Eigenvalue s of the channel transition matrix Q (descending order)

 $\omega_i$  - Eigenvalue s of the matrix  $\widetilde{D}$  (descending order)

and

$$Q = V \cdot \Lambda \cdot V^T \quad V \cdot V^T = I$$

- $\widetilde{D} = W \cdot \Omega \cdot W^T \quad W \cdot W^T = I$
- $\Psi = V^T \pi^T W$

ECCO XX May 2007

#### **Bounds Outline - III**

$$\begin{split} D_c &= \frac{1}{2} trace \left\{ V \cdot \Lambda \cdot V^T \pi^T W \cdot \Omega \cdot W^T \pi \right\} - S = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} trace \left\{ \Lambda \cdot V^T \pi^T W \cdot \Omega \cdot W^T \pi V \right\} - S = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} trace \left\{ \Lambda \cdot \Psi \cdot \Omega \cdot \Psi^T \right\} - S = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i \omega_j \Psi_{ij}^2 - S \end{split}$$

ECCO XX May 2007

#### **Bounds Outline - IV**

We relax the constraint  $\Psi = V^T \pi^T W$ 

*j*=1

to  $\Psi$  unitary

$$\min_{\Psi} \max \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i \omega_j \Psi_{ij}^2 \right) \\
s.t. \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \Psi_{ij}^2 = 1 \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \Psi_{ii}^2 = 1 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

ECCO XX May 2007

### Bounds Outline - V

Lower and upper bound are obtained using LP arguments

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimum value} : \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i \cdot \omega_{N-i} & \text{Maximum value} : \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i \cdot \omega_i \\ \text{Corresponding to} : & \text{Corresponding to} : \\ \Psi_{\min} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \\ \vdots & & 1 & \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \Psi_{\max} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

ECCO XX May 2007

#### Bounds Outline - VI

#### Lower and UpperBounds

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \lambda_0 \omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i \cdot \omega_{N-i} \right) - S \le D_C \le \frac{1}{2} \left( \lambda_0 \omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i \cdot \omega_i \right) - S$$

#### *Complexity – Finding the eingenvalues of two matrices*

ECCO XX May 2007

#### Suboptimal assignment

Using LP obtain permutation p to approximate the lower bound scenario

$$\Psi_{\min} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \\ \vdots & & 1 & \\ 0 & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \approx V^T \pi^T W \qquad \begin{array}{c} R = V \Psi_{\min} W^T \\ \min_{\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (1 - r_{ij}^2) \pi_{ij} \\ s.t. \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \pi_{ij} = 1 \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \\ \end{array}$$

Design of index assignment in vectorquantizers under channel errors

i=0

 $\pi_{ii} \ge 0$ 

#### **Average Performance**

A related expression for the *average performance over all possible index assignments*:

$$\left\langle \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_0 \omega_0 + \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i \omega_j - \boldsymbol{S}$$

May also help in finding how well a given assignment performs

ECCO XX May 2007

#### Special Cases and Numerical Results

- The proposed bounds were compared to the average performance as well as to "good" and "bad" assignments found in simulations
- For 3-bit quantizers, all assignment were checked by exhaustive search
- For 4-bit and larger quantizers, "Good" ("bad") assignments were found by a index switching algorithm (local optimization)

#### Uniform Scalar Quantizer and a Uniform Source Under the Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC)

$$\frac{2(N-1)(N+1)}{3N^2} 2q \le D_C \le \frac{2(N-1)(N+1)}{3N^2} \left[1 - (1-2q)^L\right]$$
$$\left\langle D_C \right\rangle = \frac{2N(N+1)}{3N^2} \left[1 - (1-q)^L\right]$$

where

*N* - # of quantization levels*q* - Bit Error Rate (BER)

The lower bound coincide with the performance of the Natural Binary Code

ECCO XX May 2007

#### 4-bit Uniform Scalar Quantizer and a Uniform Source Under the BSC



Design of index assignment in vectorquantizers under channel errors

ECCO XX May 2007

<u>20</u>

#### 4-bit PDF optimized Uniform Scalar Quantizer and a Gaussian source under the BSC



ECCO XX May 2007

Three-Dimensional, 8-bit PDF-Optimized Vector Quantizer for Palette Limited Images Using the L\*a\*b\* Color Space



random assigments

ECCO XX May 2007

Design of index assignment in vectorquantizers under channel errors

<u>22</u>

#### Conclusions

- For vector quantizers operating under channel errors, upper and lower bounds on the average distortion, over all index assignments, were introduced.
- Related expression for the average performance, over all possible index assignments, was shown.
- Suboptimal low complexity IA algorithm was proposed. In simulations, the algorithm performs better than "index switching".
- Bounds are reasonably close to the performance of the assignments found in simulations.